Annex 2 – Modifications to Plan Appendix 1 Monitoring and Contingencies

Indicator 1.1: MANAGEMENT OF HCI WASTE	Household, commercial and industrial (HCI) waste managed within the plan area against the combined London Plan 2021 apportionment (tonnes per annum)
Indicator 1 (for Policy WP1)	Household and Commercial and Industrial Waste Managed
Target	By 2036, 929,750 932,800 tonnes per annum by 2037 (meet combined apportionment for HCI waste)
References	London Plan Policy: SI 8
What it monitors	SLWP Vision: Net self-sufficiency for HCI Waste
	SLWP Plan Objectives: 1, and 3 and 4 and 8
	SLWP Policy WP1
	SA Objective <u>s</u> : <u>1, 2, 4, 9, 10 and 13</u>
Monitoring	Monitor annually against HCI target using the Environment Agency's Waste Data Interrogator (WDI)
	Assess target annually, act on rolling three-year phase considering unmet target and relevant waste management capacity in the planning pipeline in any extant planning permissions
	Monitor the net change in the amount of available industrial land (Class B and Class E(g)) within strategic industrial locations (SILs) and locally significant industrial locations (LSILs) as a result of waste development using the GLA's Planning London Datahub
	Monitor cross-boundary waste movements of waste through the Duty to Cooperate
	Report in the Waste Authority Monitoring Report
Outcomes sought	That the South London Waste Plan area has sufficient capacity to meet the HCI apportionment and achieves net self-sufficiently sufficiency to 2036
Delivery Partners	Greater London Authority (GLA), London Waste and Recycling Board (LWARB), <u>South London Waste</u> <u>Partnership</u> , South London Waste Plan (SLWP) boroughs, Environment Agency (EA), waste management industry <u>and Duty to Cooperate partners</u>

Policy WP1 – Strategic Approach to household and commercial and industrial (HCI) waste

Management Actions	Sites closing – Contact landowners/developers/ to identify whether it is a systemic failure or isolated failures. If systemic, work with the GLA, LWRB and EA to act as facilitators for waste management output. If isolated, work with landowners/developers to facilitate waste management output.
	Compensatory provision not delivered – Analyse the boroughs' Development Management procedures to identify this failure. <u>Undertake or commission updated assessments of site availability/viability as</u> <u>necessary, either as part of existing development plan related activities or as a specific piece of</u> <u>work</u> . <u>Possibly revise Consider reviewing the</u> South London Waste Plan to provide more sites in light of evidence.
	Significant Loss of Industrial Land – Relevant Borough(s) to undertake assessment of industrial land need, either as part of existing development plan related activities or as a specific piece of work. Possibly revise Consider reviewing the South London Waste Plan in the light of evidence in order to ensure that do the issue can be considered strategically.

Policy WP2 – Strategic Approach to other forms of waste

Indicator 2.1: MANAGEMENT OF C&D WASTE	<u>C&D waste managed within the plan area against forecast arisings (tonnes per annum)</u>
Indicator 2 (for Policy WP2)	Construction and Demolition Waste Managed
Target <u>2.1</u>	By 2036, 414,380 415,019 tonnes per annum by 2037 (net self-sufficiency for C&D waste)
References	London Plan Policy: SI 8
What it monitors	SLWP Vision: Net self-sufficiency for C&D Waste
	SLWP Plan Objective: 2 and , 3 and 4
	SLWP Policy WP2
	SA Objective <u>s</u> : 1 <u>, 2, 4, 9, 10 and 13</u>
Monitoring	Monitor annually against C&D target using the Environment Agency's waste data interrogator (WDI)
	Assess target annually, act on rolling three-year phase considering unmet target and relevant waste management capacity in the planning pipeline in any extant planning permissions

	Monitor the net change in the amount of available industrial land (Class B and Class E(g)) within strategic industrial locations (SILs) and locally significant industrial locations (LSILs) as a result of waste development using the GLA's Planning London Datahub Monitor cross-boundary waste movements of waste through the Duty to Cooperate Report in the Waste Authority Monitoring Report
Outcomes sought	That the South London Waste Plan area has sufficient capacity to meet forecast C&D waste arisings and achieves net self- sufficiently sufficiency to 2036
Delivery Partners	Greater London Authority (GLA), London Waste and Recycling Board (LWARB), <u>South London Waste</u> <u>Partnership</u> , South London Waste Plan (SLWP) boroughs, Environment Agency (EA), waste management industry <u>and Duty to Cooperate partners</u>
Management Actions	 Sites closing – Contact landowners/developers to identify whether it is a systemic failure or isolated failures. If systemic, work with the GLA, LWRB, EA to act as facilitators for waste management output. If isolated, work with landowners/developers to facilitate waste management output Compensatory provision not delivered – Analyse the boroughs' Development Management procedures to identify this failure. <u>Undertake or commission updated assessments of site availability/viability as necessary, either as part of existing development plan related activities or as a specific piece of work.</u> Possibly revise South London Waste Plan to provide more sites in light of evidence <u>Significant Loss of Industrial Land – Relevant Borough(s) to undertake assessment of industrial land need, either as part of existing development plan related activities or as a specific piece of work. Possibly revise South London Waste Plan in light of evidence do the issue can be considered strategically.</u>
Indicator 2.2: MANAGEMENT OF OTHER WASTE STREAMS	<u>Number of planning permissions for new radioactive, agricultural or hazardous waste</u> <u>Facilities (either transfer or management)</u>
Indicator 3 (for Policy WP2)	Radioactive, Agricultural and Hazardous Waste Treated
Target	0 permissions-Hazardous Waste: 21,692 tonnes per annum by 2037 Agricultural Waste: 383 tonnes per annum Radioactive Waste: N/a

References	SLWP Vision: Net self-sufficiency for other waste streams
<u>What it monitors</u>	SLWP Plan Objective: 2 and 4
	SLWP Policy WP2(d)
	SA Objective: 1
Monitoring	Monitor annually against targets using the Environment Agency's waste data interrogator (WDI)
	Monitor planning applications annually using the GLA's Planning London Datahub
	Assess target annually, act on rolling three-year phase considering unmet target and relevant waste management capacity in the planning pipeline in any extant planning permissions
	Monitor the net change in the amount of industrial land (Class B and Class E(g)) as a result of waste development using the GLA's Planning London Datahub
	Monitor cross-boundary waste movements of waste through the Duty to Cooperate
	Report in the Waste Authority Monitoring Report (AMR)
Outcomes sought	That waste arisings from other waste streams are managed without the need for additional facilities within the South London Waste Plan area, unless the requirements of WP2 (d) are met.
Delivery Partners	Greater London Authority (GLA), London Waste and Recycling Board (LWARB), South London Waste Plan (SLWP) boroughs, Environment Agency (EA), waste management industry, Duty to Cooperate partners
Management	Sites permitted - If new facilities are being delivered on 'windfall sites', because safeguarded sites
Actions	are not being assessed as deliverable, then investigate the reasons why. Undertake or commission
	updated assessments of site availability/viability as necessary, either as part of existing development plan related activities or as a specific piece of work Analyse the boroughs' Development
	Management procedures to identify this failure.
	Examine whether there is any unidentified need for these streams of waste. Possibly revise Consider
	reviewing the South London Waste Plan in the light of evidence.
	Significant Loss of Industrial Land – Relevant Borough(s) to undertake assessment of industrial
	land need, either as part of existing development plan related activities or as a specific piece of
	work. Possibly revise Consider reviewing the South London Waste Plan in the light of evidence in
	order to ensure that do the issue can be considered strategically.

Policy WP3 – Existing Waste Sites

INDICATOR 3.1: OPERATION OF WASTE SITES	Proportion of safeguarded waste sites to be <u>which are</u> operational or to have <u>had</u> compensatory provision provided (%)
Indicator 4 (for Policy WP3 & WP4)	Existing Waste Sites Safeguarded
Target	100% of safeguarded existing waste sites to be operational or to have compensatory provision provided
References	London Plan Policy: SI 8
What it monitors	SLWP Vision: Managing waste efficiently and effectively
	SLWP Plan Objectives: 1, 2, 3 and 4 and 8
	SLWP Policy WP3 (a to d)
	SA Objective: 1 <u>, 2 and 9</u>
Monitoring	Monitor annually against target using the GLA's Planning London Datahub
	Monitor the net change in the amount of industrial land (Class B and Class E(g)) as a result of compensatory provision using the GLA's Planning London Datahub
	Report in Waste Authority Monitoring Report
Outcomes sought	That the South London Waste Plan area has sufficient capacity to meet the London Plan apportionment waste targets and meet and achieve net self-sufficiency, while retaining sufficient industrial land and premises within designated SILS and LSILs across the plan area to meet future demand for other non-waste industrial uses
Delivery Partners	Greater London Authority (GLA), London Waste and Recycling Board (LWARB), South London Waste Partnership , South London Waste Plan (SLWP) boroughs, Environment Agency (EA), waste management industry
Management Actions	Sites closing – Contact landowners/developers to identify whether it is a systemic failure or isolated failures. If systemic, work with the GLA, LWRB, EA to act as facilitators for waste management output. If isolated, work with landowners/developers to facilitate waste management output
	Compensatory provision not delivered – Analyse the boroughs' Development Management procedures to identify whether this is a systematic or isolated failure. <u>Undertake or commission updated assessments of</u>

	site availability/viability as necessary, either as part of existing development plan related activities
	or as a specific piece of work. Possibly revise South London Waste Plan to provide more sites in light of evidence.
	Significant Loss of Industrial Land – Relevant Borough(s) to undertake assessment of industrial land need, either as part of existing development plan related activities or as a specific piece of work. Possibly revise Consider reviewing the South London Waste Plan in the light of evidence in order to ensure that do -the issue can be considered strategically.
<u>NEW INDICATOR</u> <u>3.2:</u> INTENSIFICATION OF WASTE SITES	Number and proportion of safeguarded waste sites which have been intensified over the plan period and the increase in average throughput per hectare
<u>Target</u>	To increase the efficiency of waste management operations across the South London Waste Plan area in terms of the average throughput of waste managed per hectare (by waste stream and based on a rolling three-year average)
What it monitors	SLWP Vision: Managing waste efficiently and effectively SLWP Plan Objective: 4, 7 8 and 9 SLWP Policy WP3 (b) SLWP Policy WP7 SA Objectives: 3 and 4
Monitoring	Monitor annually against target using the GLA's Planning London Datahub Report in Waste Authority Monitoring Report
<u>Outcomes sought</u>	To promote the efficient use of industrial land for waste management purposes across the South London Waste Plan area; To support the circular economy and minimise waste movements within the South London Waste Plan area by facilitating the co-location of complementary waste and/or industrial uses To retain sufficient industrial land and premises within designated SILS and LSILs across the SLWP area to meet future demand for other non-waste industrial uses (Class B and Class E[g]) and to maintain a sufficient level of vacant land necessary for `churn' and a functioning land market.

Delivery Partners	Greater London Authority (GLA), London Waste and Recycling Board (LWARB), South London Waste Partnership, South London Waste Plan (SLWP) boroughs, Environment Agency (EA), waste management industry
<u>Management</u> <u>Actions</u>	<u>Waste developments moving down the waste hierarchy - Analyse the boroughs' Development</u> <u>Management procedures to identify whether this is a systematic or isolated failure. Consider</u> <u>reviewing the South London Waste Plan to provide more sites in light of evidence.</u>
INDICATOR 3.3: WASTE HIERARCHY	Proportion of developments on safeguarded waste sites which result in waste being managed to at least the same level in the waste hierarchy as prior to the development (%)
Indicator 4 (for Policy WP3 & WP4)	Existing Waste Sites Safeguarded
Target	100% of developments on safeguarded waste sites which result in waste being managed to at least the same level in the waste hierarchy as prior to the development
References What it monitors	London Plan Policy: SI 8 SLWP Vision: Managing waste efficiently and effectively SLWP Plan Objective: 4, 7 8 and 9 SLWP Policy WP3 (e) SLWP Policy WP7 SA Objectives: 3 and 4
Monitoring	Monitor annually against target using the GLA's Planning London Datahub Report in Waste Authority Monitoring Report
Outcomes sought	To move waste management practices within the South London Waste Plan area up the waste hierarchy.
Delivery Partners	Greater London Authority (GLA), London Waste and Recycling Board (LWARB), South London Waste Partnership, South London Waste Plan (SLWP) boroughs, Environment Agency (EA), waste management industry
<u>Management</u> <u>Actions</u>	Sites closing Contact landowners/developers to identify whether it is a systemic failure or isolated failures. If systemic, work with the GLA, LWRB, EA to act as facilitators for waste

management output. If isolated, work with landowners/developers to facilitate waste management output
<u>Compensatory provision not delivered</u> <u>Analyse the boroughs' Development Management</u> procedures to identify whether this is a systematic or isolated failure. Undertake or commission updated assessments of site availability/viability as necessary, either as part of existing development plan related activities or as a specific piece of work. Possibly revise South London Waste Plan to provide more sites in light of evidence.
Waste developments moving down the waste hierarchy - Analyse the boroughs' Development Management procedures to identify whether this is a systematic or isolated failure. Possibly revise Consider reviewing the South London Waste Plan to provide more sites in light of evidence.

Policy WP4 – Sites for Compensatory Provision

Indicator 4.1: COMPENSATORY SITES	The amount of waste managed at compensatory sites compared to the amount of waste previously managed at the corresponding safeguarded sites which have been lost to other uses (tonnes per annum – based on three year rolling average for all waste streams)
Indicator 4 (for Policy WP3 & WP4)	Existing Waste Sites Safeguarded
<u>Target</u>	<u>100% of compensatory sites manage at least the same amount of waste as previously managed at the corresponding safeguarded site (based on three year rolling average for all waste streams)</u>
References <u>What it monitors</u>	London Plan Policy: SI 8 SLWP Vision: Net self-sufficiency SLWP Plan Objective: 1 and 2 and 4 SLWP Policy WP4 SA Objective: 1
<u>Monitoring</u>	Monitor annually against target using the Environment Agency's waste data interrogator (WDI), borough development monitoring procedures and the GLA's Planning London Datahub Monitor the net change in the amount of industrial land (Class B and Class E(g)) as a result of waste development using the GLA's Planning London Datahub

	Report in Waste Authority Monitoring Report
Outcomes sought	That the South London Waste Plan area has sufficient capacity to meet waste targets and net self- sufficiently.
Delivery Partners	Greater London Authority (GLA), London Waste and Recycling Board (LWARB), South London Waste Partnership ,South London Waste Plan (SLWP) boroughs, Environment Agency (EA), waste management industry, Duty to Cooperate partners
<u>Management</u> <u>Actions</u>	Sites closing – Contact landowners/developers to identify whether it is a systemic failure or isolated failures. If systemic, work with the GLA, LWRB, EA to act as facilitators for waste management output. If isolated, work with landowners/developers to facilitate waste management output Compensatory provision not delivered – Analyse the boroughs' Development Management procedures to identify whether this is a systematic or isolated failure. Possibly revise South London Waste Plan to provide more sites in light of evidence. Significant Loss of Industrial Land – Relevant Borough(s) to undertake assessment of industrial land need, either as part of existing development plan related activities or as a specific piece of work. Possibly revise Consider reviewing the South London Waste Plan in the light of evidence in order to ensure that do -the issue can be considered strategically.

Policy WP5 – Protecting and enhancing amenity

INDICATOR 5.1: FULLY-ENCLOSED, COVERED WASTEC FACILITIES Indicator 5	The proportion of planning permissions for intensified or compensatory waste facilities with a fully enclosed covered building (%)
(for Policy WP5(b))	Compensatory or Intensified Sites with Fully Enclosed Covered Building
Target	100% of planning permissions for intensified or compensatory waste facilities have the parts of the site where unloading, loading, storage and processing takes place within a fully enclosed covered building
References	SLWP Vision: Operational effects of sites are mitigated
What it monitors	SLWP Plan Objective: 6 and 9
	SLWP Policy WP5(b)
	SA Objective: 11 and 15
Monitoring	Monitor annually against target using the relevant borough development monitoring procedures and the GLA's Planning London Datahub Report in Waste Authority Monitoring Report
Outcomes sought	That the South London Waste Plan protects and enhances amenity
Delivery Partners	Greater London Authority (GLA), London Waste and Recycling Board (LWARB), <u>South London Waste</u> <u>Partnership</u> , South London Waste Plan (SLWP) boroughs, Environment Agency (EA), waste management industry
Management Actions	Analyse the boroughs' development management procedures to identify any failure. Examine whether there are specific reasons why proposals on sites without a fully enclosed covered building on the parts of site where unloading, loading, storage and processing takes place have not been permitted.
	Possibly provide design guidance.
	Possibly revise South London Waste Plan in light of evidence
INDICATOR 5.2: PROTECTION OF GREEN BELT, MOL AND OPEN SPACE	Number and site area of planning permissions for intensified or compensatory waste facilities located on Green Belt, Metropolitan Open Land and open space (number/hectares)

Indicator 6 (for Policy WP5(c))	Development on Green Belt, Metropolitan Open Land and Open Space
Target	O planning permissions for intensified or compensatory waste facilities located on Green Belt, Metropolitan Open Land (MOL) and Open Space (O ha)
References	SLWP Vision: Operational effects of sites are mitigated
What it monitors	<u>SLWP Policy WP5(b) (c)(i)</u>
	Plan Objective s : <u>5, 6 and 9</u>
	SA Objective <u>s</u> : 6 <u>, 14, 15 and 16</u>
Monitoring	Monitor annually against target <u>using the relevant borough development monitoring procedures and</u> the GLA's Planning London Datahub Report in Waste Authority Monitoring Report
Outcomes sought	That waste development is directed to suitable locations and the Green Belt / Metropolitan Open Land is protected from inappropriate development.
Delivery Partners	Greater London Authority (GLA), London Waste and Recycling Board (LWARB), <u>South London Waste</u> <u>Partnership</u> , South London Waste Plan (SLWP) boroughs, Environment Agency (EA), waste management industry
Management Actions	Analyse the boroughs' Development Management procedures to identify any failure. Examine whether there are specific reasons why sites on Green Belt, Metropolitan Open and Open Space have been permitted.
Actions	Possibly revise South London Waste Plan in light of evidence
INDICATOR 5.3: PROTECTION OF NATURE CONSERVATION AREAS	Number and site area of planning permissions for intensified or compensatory waste facilities located on nationally, regionally or locally designated nature conservation areas (number/hectares)
Indicator 7 (for Policy WP5(c))	Development on Nationally, Regionally or Locally Designated Nature Conservation Areas
Target	0 ha of development on 0 planning permissions for intensified or compensatory waste facilities
	located on nationally, regionally or locally designated nature conservation areas (0 ha)
References What it monitors	SLWP Plan Objective: 6 and 9

	SLWP Policy WP5(c)(ii)
	SA Objective: 12
Monitoring	Monitor annually against target using the relevant borough development monitoring procedures and the GLA's Planning London Datahub
	Report in Waste Authority Monitoring Report
Outcomes sought	That waste development is directed to suitable locations outside nationally, regionally or locally designated nature conservation areas.
Delivery Partners	Greater London Authority (GLA), <u>Green Space Information for Greater London (GiGL),</u> London Waste and Recycling Board (LWARB), <u>South London Waste Partnership</u> , South London Waste Plan (SLWP) boroughs, Environment Agency (EA), waste management industry <u>and Natural England</u>
Management	Analyse the boroughs' development management procedures to identify any failure.
Actions	Examine whether there are specific reasons why sites with nationally, regionally or locally designated Nature Conservation Areas have been permitted.
	Possibly revise Consider reviewing the South London Waste Plan in light of evidence
<u>NEW INDICATOR</u> 5.4: BIODIVERSITY NET GAIN	Number and proportion of intensified or compensatory waste facilities achieving `biodiversity net gain' as measured by the latest metric published by DEFRA (number/%)
Target	<u>100% of planning permissions for intensified or compensatory waste facilities achieve 'biodiversity</u> net gain' on or offsite in line with London Plan Policy G6, Mayoral Guidance and the relevant borough policy
What it monitors	SLWP Plan Objective: 5, 6 and 9
	SLWP Policy WP5(c)(ii)
	SA Objective: 12
<u>Monitoring</u>	Developers to assess and report on biodiversity net gain in line with London Plan Policy G6, Mayoral Guidance and the relevant borough policy using the latest biodiversity metric published by DEFRA (Biodiversity Net Gain 3.0 is expected by the end of 2021)

	Monitor annually against target using the relevant borough development monitoring procedures and the GLA's Planning London Datahub Report in Waste Authority Monitoring Percent
Outcomes sought	Report in Waste Authority Monitoring Report That the development of intensified or compensatory waste facilities within the South London
	Waste Plan area leaves biodiversity and habitats in a better state than before
<u>Delivery Partners</u>	Greater London Authority (GLA), Green Space Information for Greater London (GiGL), London Waste and Recycling Board (LWARB), South London Waste Partnership, South London Waste Plan (SLWP) boroughs, Environment Agency (EA), waste management industry and Natural England
Management Actions	Analyse the boroughs' development management procedures to identify any failure to enforce the relevant planning conditions of legal agreements around biodiversity accounting
	Consider reviewing the South London Waste Plan in light of evidence
INDICATOR 5 .4 5: CONSERVATION AREAS	Number and site area of planning permissions for intensified or compensatory waste facilities located within Conservation Areas (number/hectares)
Indicator 8 (for Policy WP5(c))	Development on Nationally, Regionally or Locally Designated Heritage Conservation Areas
Target	0 ha of development on <u>0 planning permissions for intensified or compensatory waste facilities</u> located within Conservation Areas (0 ha)
References	SLWP Policy WP5(c)(iii)
What it monitors	Plan Objective: <u>5, 6</u> and 9
	SA Objective: 14
Monitoring	Monitor annually against target <u>using the relevant borough development monitoring procedures and</u> the GLA's Planning London Datahub
	Report in Waste Authority Monitoring Report
Outcomes sought	That waste development does not cause harm to the historic environment.
Delivery Partners	Greater London Authority (GLA), London Waste and Recycling Board (LWARB), <u>South London Waste</u> <u>Partnership</u> , South London Waste Plan (SLWP) boroughs, Environment Agency (EA), waste management industry <u>and Historic England</u>

Management Actions	Analyse the boroughs' Development Management procedures to identify any failure. Examine whether there are specific reasons why sites within Nationally, Regionally or Locally Designated Heritage Conservation Areas have been permitted. Possibly revise Consider reviewing the South London Waste Plan in the light of evidence
INDICATOR 5.6 5: FLOOD RISK, RIVER QUALITY AND GROUNDWATER	 5.6.1 Number and proportion of planning permissions for intensified or compensatory waste facilities waste developments granted planning permission against Environment Agency advice relating to fluvial flood risk, maintaining the natural floodplain, river quality (chemical and ecological) and groundwater source protection zones (SPZs) groundwater risk and air emissions (%). 5.6.2 Number and proportion of waste facilities incorporating buildings or structures within 8 metres of a main river or within 5 metres of an ordinary watercourse; 5.6.3 Number and proportion of waste facilities incorporating buildings or structures located within EA Flood Zones 2 or 3; 5.6.4 Water quality objectives (chemical and ecological) for each of the main rivers within the South London Waste Plan area set out in the EA's Thames River Basin Management Plan 2015-21 as amended; 5.6.5 Number and proportion of waste facilities located within EA groundwater source protection zones (SPZ1 inner; SPZ2 outer and SPZ3 total catchment).
Indicator 9 (for Policy WP5(c))	Development Permitted Against Environment Agency Advice (covers flood risk, groundwater risk, air emissions)
Target	 O ha of development O planning permissions for intensified or compensatory waste facilities waste developments granted planning permission permitted against Environment Agency advice O planning permissions for intensified or compensatory waste facilities incorporate buildings or structures within 8 metres of a main river or within 5 metres of an ordinary watercourse; O planning permissions for intensified or compensatory waste facilities incorporate buildings or structures within 8 metres of a main river or within 5 metres of an ordinary watercourse; O planning permissions for intensified or compensatory waste facilities incorporate buildings or structures within 8 metres of a main river or within 5 metres of an ordinary watercourse; Each of the main rivers within the South London Waste Plan area is assessed as having `good' chemical and `good' ecological status.

	<u>0 planning permissions located within EA groundwater source protection zones (SPZ1 inner; SPZ2 outer and SPZ3 total catchment).</u>
References What it monitors	SLWP Vision: Managing waste efficiently and effectively and effects mitigated. SLWP Plan Objectives: 5, 6 and 9
	SA Objective: 6. 7. 8. 11 and 15
Monitoring	Monitor annually against target <u>using the relevant borough development monitoring procedures, and</u> the GLA's Planning London Datahub and Environment Agency river quality monitoring data Report in Waste Authority Monitoring Report
Outcomes sought	That waste development contributes to reduce the impacts of climate change, and does not cause harm to the environment and communities by increasing flood risk or adversely affecting river or groundwater quality.
Delivery Partners	Greater London Authority (GLA), London Waste and Recycling Board (LWARB), <u>South London Waste</u> <u>Partnership,</u> South London Waste Plan (SLWP) boroughs, Environment Agency (EA), waste management industry, <u>South East Rivers Trust (formerly Wandle Trust)</u>
Management	Analyse the boroughs' Development Management procedures to identify any failure.
Actions	Examine whether there are specific reasons why sites have been permitted contrary to Environment Agency advice.
	Possibly revise South London Waste Plan in light of evidence
INDICATOR 5.7: AIR QUALITY INDICATORS	5.7.1 NITROGEN DIOXIDE (NO ₂): Monitored NO ₂ levels at roadside locations adjacent to or in close proximity to operational waste sites (µg/m ³) 5.7.2 PARTICULATES (PM10): Monitored PM10 ¹ levels at roadside locations adjacent to or in close
	proximity to operational waste sites ($\mu q/m^3$)
	5.7.3 AIR OUALITY FOCUS AREAS : number and proportion of planning permissions for intensified or compensatory waste facilities located within or in close proximity to Air Quality Focus Areas

 $^{^{1}}$ PM10s = particulate matter less than 10 microns in size

	 5.7.4 AIR OUALITY NEUTRALITY: Number and proportion of planning permissions for intensified or compensatory waste facilities achieving 'Air Quality Neutral' benchmarks as defined by the Mayor² 5.7.5 POST IMPLEMENTATION MONITORING: Number and proportion of planning permissions for intensified or compensatory waste facilities which incorporate conditions and/or legal agreements to secure arrangements for post-implementation monitoring and annual reporting of local air quality and polluting emissions; 5.7.6 ENFORCEMENT ACTION: Number of enforcement actions taken against waste sites by the Boroughs and/or Environment Agency on breach of planning permissions, conditions or environmental permits
<u>Targets</u>	 5.7.1 NITROGEN DIOXIDE (NO₂): 40 µg/m³ as an annual mean and 200 µg/m³ as a 1-hour mean exceeded no more than 18 days per year based on both automatic monitoring sites forming part of the London Air Quality Network (LAQN) and any non-automatic diffusion tube networks either run by the relevant borough. 5.7.2 PARTICULATES (PM10): 40 µg/m³ as an annual mean and 50 µg/m³ as a 24-hr mean not to be exceeded more than 35 days/year) 5.7.3 AIR OUALITY FOCUS AREAS: 0 planning permissions for intensified or compensatory waste facilities located within or adjacent in close proximity to Air Quality Focus Areas 5.7.4 AIR QUALITY NEUTRALITY: 100% of planning permissions for intensified or compensatory
	 waste facilities achieve 'Air Quality Neutral' benchmarks as defined by the Mayor³ 5.7.5 POST IMPLEMENTATION MONITORING: Where necessary and where the tests set out in National Planning Practice Guidance (NPPG) for the use of planning obligations are met. 100% of planning permissions for intensified or compensatory waste facilities include conditions and/or legal agreements to secure arrangements for post-implementation monitoring and annual reporting of local air quality and polluting emissions: 5.7.6 ENFORCEMENT ACTION: Enforcement investigation is undertaken by the Boroughs and/or Environment Agency in 100% of cases where a breach of planning control or environmental permit is reported

³ 'air quality neutral' standards are defined in the Mayor's supplementary planning guidance (SPG) on Sustainable design and Construction (GLA, 2014)

<u>References</u>	SLWP Vision: Managing waste efficiently and effectively and effects mitigated.
What it monitors	SLWP Plan Objective: 5, 6 and 9
	SLWP Policy WP5(c)(vi)
	SA Objective: 7, 10, 11, 15 and 16
<u>Monitoring</u>	Monitor annually against targets using the relevant borough development monitoring procedures; and available data from the Environment Agency data; the London Air Quality Network (https://www.londonair.org.uk/); and annual Air Quality Status Reports published by each local authority and any additional local monitoring networks ⁴ that may be introduced in the vicinity of industrial locations and/or operational waste sites (typically consisting of NO ₂ diffusion tubes).
	Report in Waste Authority Monitoring Report and annual Air Quality Status Reports published by each local authority.
<u>Outcomes sought</u>	That polluting emissions from the construction and operation of waste sites and associated transport movements do not cause an exceedance of national and regional air quality objectives and are minimised to acceptable levels that do not cause undue harm are not harming to the environment or local communities
Delivery Partners	South London Waste Plan (SLWP) boroughs, Environment Agency (EA), waste management industry
<u>Management</u> <u>Actions</u>	Contact landowners/developers to identify whether it is an ongoing systemic failure or a one-off, isolated failures, and verify the extent to which the failure is exclusively due to ongoing waste operations on site or the waste operator's vehicles. If the failure is ongoing and systemic, work with the GLA, LWRB, EA to act as facilitators for waste management output. If a one-off and isolated failure, work with landowners/developers to facilitate waste management output Consistent and significant failure to meet relevant air quality targets over successive monitoring periods will trigger a review of the SLWP's policies and safeguarded sites.

⁴ an example of a local air quality monitoring network is the roll out of low-cost air quality and traffic monitors as part of the South London Partnership funded InnOvaTe (Internet Of Things) project. When completed there will be up to 68 traffic sensors (Vivacity) co-located with 68 air quality monitors ('Breathe London Nodes') which will for the first time link traffic and air quality data together in real-time. The planned network will cover a range of key locations within the Borough with potential air quality issues including in the vicinity of industrial locations and waste sites. LB Merton has recently applied for additional InnOvaTe funding in order to provide additional air quality monitoring along the length of Weir Road.

INDICATOR 6.1:	The proportion of planning permissions for intensified or compensatory waste facilities achieving a
BREEAM AND	BREEAM and/or CEEQUAL 'Excellent' rating (%)
CEEQUAL RATINGS	
Indicator 10	
(for Policy WP6)	Development Achieving BREEAM and/or CEEQUAL "Excellent" Rating
Target	100% of planning permissions for intensified or compensatory waste facilities achieve a BREEAM
	and/or CEEQUAL `Excellent' rating
References	SLWP Vision: Managing waste efficiently and effectively and effects mitigated.
What it monitors	SLWP Plan Objective: 5 and 6
	SLWP Policy WP6(a)
	SA Objective: 8
Monitoring	Monitor annually against target using the relevant borough development monitoring procedures
	Submission of BREEAM and/or CEEQUAL 'design-stage' and 'post-construction' certificates to the relevant local planning authority at the pre-commencement and pre occupation stages respectively
	Report in Waste Authority Monitoring Report
Outcomes sought	That new waste facilities are built to the highest standards of sustainable design and construction a high sustainability standard and are contributing to reducing the impacts of climate change
Delivery Partners	Greater London Authority (GLA), London Waste and Recycling Board (LWARB), <u>South London Waste</u> <u>Partnership</u> , South London Waste Plan (SLWP) boroughs, Environment Agency (EA), waste management industry, <u>Building Research Establishment</u>
Management	Analyse the boroughs' development management procedures to identify any failure. Examine whether there
Actions	are specific reasons why waste facilities are not achieving BREEAM and/or CEEQUAL 'ExceeInt' sites without a fully enclosed covered building have not been permitted.
	Possibly provide design guidance.
	Possibly revise South London Waste Plan in light of evidence

Policy WP6 – Sustainable design and construction of waste facilities

INDICATOR 6.2: CARBON EMISSIONS	<u>Net carbon dioxide (CO₂) reductions delivered by waste management facilities compared to Part L of the 2013 Building Regulations (% and tonnes per annum)</u>
<u>Targets</u>	100% of planning permissions for intensified or compensatory waste developments achieving at least a 35% on-site reduction in CO ₂ emissions in accordance with relevant London Plan targets compared to Part L2A of the 2013 Building Regulations; 100% of permissions for major waste related developments achieve 'zero carbon' standards in line with Policy SI 2 of the London Plan 2021 by offsetting remaining CO ₂ emissions through developer contributions to fund carbon reduction measures elsewhere;
References	London Plan Policy SI 2
What it monitors	SLWP Vision: Managing waste efficiently and effectively and effects mitigated.
	SLWP Plan Objective: 5 and 6
	SLWP Policy WP6(b)
	SA Objective: 5
Monitoring	Monitor annually against target using the relevant borough development monitoring procedures and the GLA's Planning London Datahub
	Submission of energy statements, 'as-designed' and 'as-built' simplified building energy model (SBEM) certificates to the relevant local planning authority at the planning application, pre- commencement and pre occupation stages respectively
	Report in Waste Authority Monitoring Report
Outcomes sought	That new waste facilities delivering reduced CO2 emissions and are contributing to reducing the impacts of climate change
Delivery Partners	Greater London Authority (GLA), London Waste and Recycling Board (LWARB), <u>South London Waste</u> <u>Partnership</u> , South London Waste Plan (SLWP) boroughs, Environment Agency (EA), waste management industry
Management	Analyse the boroughs' development management procedures to identify any failure
<u>Actions</u>	Examine whether there are specific reasons why permitted waste developments have not met the
	relevant targets for reducing CO ₂ emissions and carbon offsetting
	Possibly provide design guidance

INDICATOR 6.3: EMBODIED CARBON	Number and proportion of waste facilities minimising embodied carbon emissions using a nationally recognised Whole Life-Cycle Carbon Assessment (WLC) methodology (%)
<u>Targets</u>	<u>100% of planning permissions for intensified or compensatory waste developments minimise</u> embodied carbon emissions using a nationally recognised WLC methodology
References What it monitors	London Plan Policy SI 2 SLWP Vision: Managing waste efficiently and effectively and effects mitigated. SLWP Plan Objective: 5 SLWP Policy WP6(b) SA Objectives: 4 and 5
<u>Monitoring</u>	Monitor annually against target using the relevant borough development monitoring procedures Submission of appropriate WLC certification to the relevant local planning authority at both the pre- commencement and pre occupation stages Report in Waste Authority Monitoring Report
Outcomes sought	That new waste facilities minimising embodied carbon emissions and contributing to reducing the impacts of climate change
Delivery Partners	Greater London Authority (GLA), London Waste and Recycling Board (LWARB), South London Waste Partnership, South London Waste Plan (SLWP) boroughs, Environment Agency (EA), waste management industry
Management Actions	Analyse the boroughs' development management procedures to identify any failure Examine whether there are specific reasons why permitted waste developments have not achieved WLC certification

Policy WP7 – The benefits of waste (promoting the circular economy)

INDICATOR 7.1:	7.1.1 Permissions for intensified or compensatory waste facilities (and other major non-waste
CIRCULAR	
ECONOMY	developments) which are supported by a Circular Economy Statement in line with London Plan
ECONOMY	Policy SI 8 (%)
	7.1.2 Permissions for intensified or compensatory waste facilities which are co-located with
	complimentary waste or industrial operations/ facilities (%)
	7.1.3 Permissions for intensified or compensatory waste facilities (and other major non-waste
	developments) which achieve 'net zero waste' as defined in the Mayor's Draft Circular Economy
	Statement Guidance (%)
	7.1.4 Permissions for intensified or compensatory waste facilities (and other major non-waste
	developments) which specify and source materials and other resources sustainably based on the
	Mayor's Circular Economy Statement Guidance
	7.1.5 Permissions for intensified or compensatory waste facilities (and other major non-waste
	developments)which prioritise refurbishment or 're-purposing' of the existing building on site (as
	defined in the Mayor's Circular Economy Statement Guidance)
	7.1.6 Permissions for intensified or compensatory waste facilities (and other major non-waste
	developments) which include a completed 'Bill of Materials' ⁵ as defined in the Mayor's Circular
	Economy Statement Guidance.
	7.1.7 Permissions for intensified or compensatory waste facilities (and other major non-waste
	developments) which identify opportunities for the use of reused or recycled materials and set
	individual targets of at least 20% by value of materials
	7.1.8 Permissions for intensified or compensatory waste facilities (and other major non-waste
	developments)which include minimum targets for material intensity (kg/m2) - for structure, skin
	and space layers
	7.1.9 Permissions for intensified or compensatory waste facilities (and other major non-waste
	developments)which include minimum targets for recycled content for structure, skin and space
	layers as a minimum (% by value)

⁵ The 'Bill of Materials' must contain estimates of the quantity of materials used in each 'layer' of the building (kg), material 'intensity' (kg/m²) and set targets for the minimum amount of recycled content to be used (% by value)

	7.1.10 Permissions for intensified or compensatory waste facilities (and other major non-waste developments) which are supported by a Recycling and Waste Reporting Form ⁶ 7.1.11 The increase in the proportion of HCI waste and C&D waste re-used and/or recycled on existing waste transfer stations within the plan area 7.1.12 The proportion of HCI and C&D waste arisings within the SLWP area which are exported out of the plan area prior to reuse or recycling (minimise) 7.1.13 Monitoring of waste recovery indicators and targets in Mayor's Environment Strategy 2018: • Percentage of HCI waste arisings recycled by 2030; • Percentage of local authority collected waste (LACW) HCI waste arisings recycled by 2030; • Percentage of local authority collected waste (LACW) HCI waste arisings recycled by 2030; • Percentage of business waste arisings recycled by 2030 • Percentage of local authority collected waste (LACW) HCI waste arisings recycled by 2030; • Percentage of local authority collected waste (LACW) HCI waste arisings recycled by 2030; • Percentage of business waste arisings recycled by 2030 • Percentage of business waste arisings recycled by 2030 • Percentage of C&D waste going to beneficial use • Percentage of C&D waste going to beneficial use
Targets	7.1.1 100% of permissions are supported by a Circular Economy Statement in line with London Plan Policy SI 8 (%) 7.1.2 Increase in the number of intensified or compensatory waste facilities which are co-located with complimentary waste or industrial operations/ facilities (%) 7.1.3 100% of permissions achieve `net zero waste' 7.1.4 100% of permissions specify and source materials and other resources sustainably 7.1.5 Where there is an existing building on site, 100% of permissions prioritise refurbishment or `re-purposing' of the existing building on site 7.1.6 100% of permissions include a completed `Bill of Materials' 7.1.7 100% of permissions include minimum targets for material intensity (kg/m ²) - for structure, skin and space layers 7.1.9 100% of permissions include minimum targets for recycled content for structure, skin and space layers as a minimum (% by value)

⁶ Waste and Recycling Forms must contain (i) estimates of the total amount of waste/ material generated during excavation, demolition, construction and operation (ii) how much will be reused or recycled onsite, reused or recycled offsite, or sent to landfil (iii) defined activities and targets relating to the relevant London Plan policy targets; and (iv) a commitment to monitor post implementation (% reused/ recycled)

	 7.1.10 100% of permissions are supported by a Recycling and Waste Reporting Form 7.1.11 A year on year increase in the proportion of HCI waste and C&D waste re-used and/or recycled on existing waste transfer stations 7.1.12 A year on year reduction in the proportion of HCI and C&D waste arisings which are exported out of the plan area prior to reuse or recycling 7.1.13 Waste recovery targets: 65% of HCI waste arisings recycled by 2030; 50% of LACW waste recycled by 2030;
	 50% of LACW waste recycled by 2030; 75% of business waste arisings recycled by 2030 95% of excavation waste going to beneficial use 95% of C&D waste going to beneficial use
<u>References</u>	Plan Objective: 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9 SLWP Policy WP7 SA Objective: 4
<u>Monitoring</u>	Monitor annually against target using the relevant borough development monitoring procedures and analysis of approved Circular Economy Statements Report in Waste Authority Monitoring Report
Delivery Partners	Greater London Authority (GLA), London Waste and Recycling Board (LWARB), South London Waste Partnership, South London Waste Plan (SLWP) boroughs, Environment Agency (EA), waste management industry,
Management Actions	Analyse the boroughs' development management procedures to identify any failure.

Indicator 7.1	The proportion of planning permissions for intensified or compensatory waste facilities involving energy from waste (%)
Indicator 11	
(for Policy WP7)	Development involving Energy from Waste
Target	0 planning permissions for intensified or compensatory waste facilities involve energy from waste
References	-Plan Objective: 6
	SLWP Policy WP7
	SA Objective: 5
Monitoring	Monitor annually against target-using the relevant borough development monitoring procedures
	Report in Waste Authority Monitoring Report
Delivery Partners	Greater London Authority (GLA), London Waste and Recycling Board (LWARB), South London Waste
-	Partnership, South London Waste Plan (SLWP) boroughs, Environment Agency (EA), waste management
	industry,
Management	Analyse the boroughs' development management procedures to identify any failure.
Actions	